

## CLASSIFICATION OFFICE DECISION

**Title of publication:** Totally Unprofessional

**Other known title(s):** Mike John's Totally Unprofessional

**OFLC ref:** 1001740.000

**Medium:** DVD

**Distributor:** Calvista NZ Ltd

**Director:** Mike John

**Producer:** Not stated

**Country of origin:** United States

**Language:** English

**Applicant:** Film & Video Labelling Body

<b>Classification:</b>	The unexcised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable.
	The excised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

**Excisions:** Recommended but not made

**Descriptive note:** None

**Display conditions:** None

**Date of entry in Register:** 17 January 2011

**Date of direction to issue a label:** No direction to issue a label has been issued

	Components	Running time
Menu:	Play Feature Scenes Trailers	

	Photo Gallery	
<b>Feature(s):</b>	Totally Unprofessional	172:40
<b>Timed component(s):</b>	Photo Gallery	8:24
	Full Anal Access	1:00
	Throat Injection 3	1:00
	The Brother Load	1:00
	Notices	0:59
<b>Total running time:</b>		185:03

#### **Summary of reasons for decision:**

The DVD is classified as objectionable because two excisions were not made by the distributor.

The DVD contains a feature and other components that explicitly depict sexual activity for the purpose of adult sexual arousal. The problematic content is found in two of the trailers which contain highly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning sexual conduct that result in the publication strongly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning the women involved, and by extension, women more generally. The male participants show a lack of concern for the women's physical comfort and pleasure, and there is a focus on the women enduring rather than enjoying the sexual activity. Had the excisions been made, the DVD would have been classified as objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression. However, given the nature of the material, this decision is reasonable, demonstrably justified and represents the minimum interference with the freedom of expression consistent with preventing likely injury to the public good.